

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com**SciVerse ScienceDirect**

Procedia Engineering 29 (2012) 4204 – 4212

**Procedia
Engineering**

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

2012 International Workshop on Information and Electronics Engineering (IWIEE)

Robust Variance-Constrained Control for a Class Continuous Markov Jump Systems

Zhao Hui^{a*}, Weng Xing Wei^b, Yang Jin Hui^c, Xuan Yong Bo^d,^a*Zhao Hui, Engineering College, Air Force Engineering University, Xi'an 710038, Shanxi, China*^b*Weng Xing Wei, Engineering College, Air Force Engineering University, Xi'an 710038, Shanxi, China*^c*Yang Jin Hui, Aviation Institute, Air Force Academy of Armament, Beijing 100076, Beijing, China*^d*Xuan Yong Bo, Engineering College, Air Force Engineering University, Xi'an 710038, Shanxi, China*

Abstract

For a class continuous Markov jump systems, the variance-constrained and uncertain robust performance problem is researched, which guarantees the closed-loop steady-state variance to be less than a given upper, and the conditions for the existence of such controllers are proposed and proved. The designed method of these controllers is proposed. The simulation results show that the designed controller meets the demands of stability, robustness and variance-constrained.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of Harbin University of Science and Technology. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: performance, variance-constrained, Markov jump systems, Linear matrix inequality

1. Introduction

In practical engineering applications, for the existence of random mutation in system model, the system structure often changes, such as the mutation of environmental conditions, the changing of the parameters and so on. researches found that these random changes often follow the changing law of Markovian process, markov jump system can describe this system, essay[1-8] do some research about this system, and have got some conclusions, but never researched, for a class continuous Markov jump systems, the variance-constrained and uncertain robust performance problem is researched.

* Zhao Hui. Tel.: +86-029-84787555; fax: +86-029-84787555.

E-mail address: Liuziyang_kgy@163.com.

2. Problem Description

In given complete probability space, consider the following MARKOV jumping system with parameters uncertain:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_t = (A(r_t) + \Delta A(t, r_t))x_t + B(r_t)u_t + G(r_t)w_t, x(0) = x_0 \\ z_t = C(r_t)x_t + D(r_t)u_t + L(r_t)w_t \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where state $x_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, and satisfy $E[x_0] = 0$, control input $u_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$, control output $z_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$, w_t is the white noise, and it satisfies:

$$E\{dw_t\} = 0, \quad E\{dw_t^2\} = Wdt, \quad W > 0$$

r_t is a continuous-time discrete-state Markov process with values in finite set with transition probability matrix :

$$\Pr\{r_{t+\Delta} = j \mid r_t = i\} = \begin{cases} \pi_{ij}\Delta + o(\Delta) & i \neq j \\ 1 + \pi_{ii}\Delta + o(\Delta) & i = j \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

In this relation $\Delta > 0$, π_{ij} is the transition rate from mode i to mode j , for all $i, j \in \mathbb{S}$, it satisfies

$$\pi_{ij} \geq 0 (i \neq j) \text{ and } \pi_{ii} = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^s \pi_{ij}.$$

For each $r_t = i \in \mathbb{S}$, uncertain parameters fulfil matched condition:

$$\Delta A(t, i) = H_i F_i(i) M_i \quad (3)$$

Where real matrix $F_i(i)$ represent the uncertainty of parameters, it fulfills:

$$F_i^T(i) F_i(i) \leq I \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{S} \quad (4)$$

Suppose to system (1), the feedback control law can be as follows:

$$u_t = K(r_t)x_t \quad (5)$$

Then system (1) can be written in the following compact form:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_t = \bar{A}(r_t)x_t + G(r_t)w_t \\ z_t = \bar{C}(r_t)x_t + L(r_t)w_t \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Where $\bar{A}(r_t) = A(r_t) + B(r_t)K(r_t) + H(r_t)F_i(r_t)M(r_t)$, $\bar{C}(r_t) = C(r_t) + D(r_t)K(r_t)$

For each static $r_t \in \mathbb{S}$, $A(r_t)$, $B(r_t)$, $G(r_t)$, $C(r_t)$, $D(r_t)$, $H(r_t)$ is a constant interconnection matrix with proper dimension. For $r_t = i \in \mathbb{S}$, assume $[\cdot](r_t = i) = [\cdot]_i$.

Definition 1: For each $i \in \mathbb{S}$, define:

$$\hat{Q}_t(i) := E[x_t(i)x_t(i)^T] = E[x_t(r_t)x_t(r_t)^T | r_t = i]$$

$$\hat{Q}(i) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[x_t(i)x_t(i)^T] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Q}_t(i)$$

Then:

$$\hat{Q}(r_t) = E[x_t(r_t)x_t(r_t)^T] = \sum_{i=1}^s E[x_t(i)x_t(i)^T | r_t = i]P_r\{r_t = i\}$$

If system (1) is robust stochastic stability, then steady-state covariance of the state exist, that is

$$\hat{Q}(r_t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \hat{Q}_t(r_t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \hat{Q}_t(i)P_r\{r_t = i\} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s \hat{Q}_t(i)P_r = \sum_{i=1}^s \hat{Q}(i)P_r$$

The aim of this paper is to design a state feedback controller of system (1) as equation (5) for all the allowable uncertainties, it fulfill the following three requirements:

(P1) System (1) is stochastic stability;

(P2) System (1) is robustness; For all $r_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, there exists a constant $M(x_0, r_0)$ which satisfy $M(0, r_0) = 0$, to given scalar $\gamma > 0$, the initial state $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$, $r_0 \in \mathbb{S}$, the controlled output $z(t)$ satisfy:

$$\left[E \int_0^T z^T(t)z(t)dt | (x_0, r_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \gamma \left[\|w\|_2^2 + M(x_0, r_0) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (7)$$

(P3) The stable variances for each state meet the following constraints:

$$Var[x_t(r_t)] := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[x_{t,i}(r_t)x_{t,i}^T(r_t)] = [\hat{Q}(r_t)]_{ii} < \sigma_i^2(r_t) \quad (8)$$

Where $x_t(r_t) = [x_{t,1}(r_t) \cdots x_{t,n_x}(r_t)]^T$, $[\hat{Q}(r_t)]_{ii}$ is the elements on the diagonal matrix of $[\hat{Q}(r_t)]$, the given scalar $\sigma_i^2(r_t) > 0$ ($i=1, \dots, n_x$) is the acceptable upper bound variance required by practical problems.

3. Control Design

This section will deduce a multi targets controller design for continuous Markov jump system with parameters uncertain.

Theorem 1 To the a given positive constant $\gamma > 0$, $\sigma_{ij}^2 > 0$ ($i=1, \dots, s, j=1, \dots, n_x$), if there exist constant $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $\zeta_i > 0$ the symmetric positive definite matrix $X_i > 0$ and Y_i :

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i Y_i + Y_i^T B_i^T + \pi_{ii} X_i + \zeta_i H_i H_i^T & G_i & X_i C_i^T + Y_i^T D_i^T & X_i M_i^T & S_i(X) \\ & G_i^T & L_i^T & 0 & 0 \\ C_i X_i + D_i Y_i & L_i & -I & 0 & 0 \\ M_i X_i & 0 & 0 & -\zeta_i I & 0 \\ S_i^T(X) & 0 & 0 & 0 & -X_i(X) \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i Y_i + Y_i^T B_i^T + \pi_{ii} X_i + \varepsilon_i H_i H_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T & X_i M_i^T \\ M_i X_i & -\varepsilon_i I \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (10)$$

$$[X_i]_{jj} \leq \sigma_{ij}^2, \quad (i=1, \dots, s, j=1, \dots, n_x) \quad (11)$$

Then there exists a feedback controller like equation (5) satisfy all the acceptable uncertainties in (P1) ~ (P3), and the feedback control gain is $K_i = Y_i X_i^{-1}$.

Proof:(1) First prove there exist a group of symmetric positive definite matrix $X_i > 0$, which satisfy the following constraints:

$$\bar{A}_i X_i + X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T \leq 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{S} \quad (12)$$

Then the closed loop system is stochastic stable.

$$\rho(\bar{A}) = \rho(\bar{A}^T)$$

Where $\rho(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius of a matrix. So when $u_i \equiv 0$, the stability requirements for the following system are equal to system (1):

$$dx_t = \bar{A}^T(r_t) dx_t + G(r_t) dw_t \quad (13)$$

Construct a Lyapunov function:

$$V(x_t, r_t = i) = V(x_t, i) = x_t^T X_i x_t, \quad X_i > 0, \quad i \in \mathbb{S}$$

By weak infinitesimal generator there is

$$LV(x_t, i) = x_t^T (\bar{A}_i X_i + X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T) x_t$$

Notes that $G_i W G_i^T > 0$, obviously, for close loop system, if there exist one group of symmetric positive definite matrix $X_i > 0$, when equation (12) is true, then

$$LV(x_t, i) = x_t^T (\bar{A}_i X_i + X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j) x_t < 0$$

There exist one group of symmetric positive definite matrix $Q_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{S}$, which is assumed to satisfy a constraint:

$$LV(x_t, i) = x_t^T (\bar{A}_i X_i + X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j) x_t = -x_t^T Q_i x_t$$

Then

$$LV(x_t, i) \leq -\min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_{\min}[Q_i] x_t^T x_t$$

By Dynkin lemma, there is

$$\mathbb{E}[V(x_t, i)] - V(x_0, r_0) = E \left[\int_0^t LV(x_s, r_s) ds \right] \leq -\min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_{\min}[Q_i] E \left[\int_0^t x_s^T x_s ds \mid (x_0, r_0) \right]$$

From this equation, there is

$$\min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_{\min}[Q_i] E \left[\int_0^t x_s^T x_s ds \mid (x_0, r_0) \right] \leq E[V(x_0, r_0)] - E[V(x_t, i)] \leq E[V(x_0, r_0)]$$

$$\text{So } E \left[\int_0^t x_s^T x_s ds \mid (x_0, r_0) \right] \leq \frac{E[V(x_0, r_0)]}{\min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_{\min}[Q_i]}$$

Define $T(x_0, r_0) = \frac{E[V(x_0, r_0)]}{\min_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \lambda_{\min}[Q_i]}$, when $t \rightarrow \infty$, there is

$$E \left[\int_0^\infty x_s^T x_s ds \mid (x_0, r_0) \right] \leq T(x_0, r_0)$$

From essay [9], system which meet the condition of equation (12) is random stable.

(2) Prove the steady-state covariance matrix of closed-loop system \hat{Q}_i satisfy $\hat{Q}_i \leq X_i$.

By definition 1, the covariance of the system can be defined as

$$\hat{Q}_i(i) := E[x_i(i)x_i(i)^T] = E[x_i(r_i)x_i(r_i)^T \mid r_i = i]$$

By weak infinitesimal generator

$$L\hat{Q}_i(i) = \bar{A}_i\hat{Q}_i(i) + \hat{Q}_i(i)\bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij}\hat{Q}_j(j) + G_i W G_i^T$$

When $t \rightarrow \infty$, the steady state variance of the system satisfies:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} L\hat{Q}_i(i) = \bar{A}_i\hat{Q}_i + \hat{Q}_i\bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij}\hat{Q}_j + G_i W G_i^T = 0 \quad (14)$$

By using Equation (14) to minus (16), there is

$$\bar{A}_i[X_i - \hat{Q}_i] + [X_i - \hat{Q}_i]\bar{A}_i^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij}[X_j - \hat{Q}_j] \leq 0$$

From essay [10] there is, $\hat{Q}_i \leq X_i$.

(3) The design of system which is random mean-square stability and satisfy all the upper bound. Proof (1)、(2) shows when AS satisfy equation (12), system is random mean-square stability and the steady covariance matrix of system state satisfy all the upper bound, then we discuss the design of system which is random mean-square stability and satisfy all the upper bound when satisfying equation (10), (11).

To facilitate processing, define

$$\begin{cases} S_i(X) = [\sqrt{\pi_{i1}}X_i & \cdots & \sqrt{\pi_{ii-1}}X_i & \sqrt{\pi_{ii+1}}X_i & \cdots & \sqrt{\pi_{is}}X_i] \\ X_i(X) = \text{diag}[X_1, \cdots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \cdots, X_s] \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

So

$$X_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j^{-1} \right] X_i = \pi_{ii} X_i + S_i(X) X_i^{-1} (X) S_i^T(X) \quad (16)$$

Made $\bar{A}_i = A_i + B_i K_i + H_i F_i(i) M_i$ in (12), then

$$A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i K_i X_i + X_i K_i^T B_i^T + H_i F_i(i) M_i X_i + X_i (H_i F_i(i) M_i)^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T \leq 0$$

From easy [11], there exist a positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$, which makes:

$$H_i F_i(i) M_i X_i + X_i (H_i F_i(i) M_i)^T \leq \varepsilon_i H_i H_i^T + \varepsilon_i^{-1} X_i M_i^T M_i X_i \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i K_i X_i + X_i K_i^T B_i^T + H_i F_i(i) M_i X_i + X_i (H_i F_i(i) M_i)^T + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T \\ & \leq A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i K_i X_i + X_i K_i^T B_i^T + \varepsilon_i H_i H_i^T + \varepsilon_i^{-1} X_i M_i^T M_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y_i = K_i X_i$, then

$$A_i X_i + X_i A_i^T + B_i Y_i + Y_i^T B_i^T + \varepsilon_i H_i H_i^T + \varepsilon_i^{-1} X_i M_i^T M_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j + G_i W G_i^T \leq 0$$

By Schurz, we can get equation (10).

From proof (1)、(2), we know when equation (10) is true, the system is random mean-square stability and the steady covariance matrix of system state satisfy $\hat{Q}_i \leq X_i$. Consider the steady state variance constraint equation of the system state (11), There is the following conclusion:

If there exist a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and symmetric positive definite matrix $X_i > 0$, matrix Y_i , makes matrix inequality (12)、(13) true. then state feedback controller (6) with gain $K_i = Y_i X_i^{-1}$ make closed loop system (7) meet the performance of (P1) and (P3).

(4) Prove system (7) is random robustness stable

Introducing matrix inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j + \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i & \bar{C}_i^T L_i + P_i G_i \\ L_i^T \bar{C}_i + G_i^T P_i & L_i^T L_i - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (18)$$

Where the positive constant $\gamma > 0$, positive definite matrix $P_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{S}$, Equation(18) can be written by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j + \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i & \bar{C}_i^T L_i + P_i G_i \\ L_i^T \bar{C}_i + G_i^T P_i & L_i^T L_i - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j & P_i G_i \\ G_i^T P_i & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{C}_i^T \\ L_i^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{C}_i & L_i \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

From Schur fill lemma, the equation can be described as the following inequality

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j & P_i G_i & \bar{C}_i^T \\ G_i^T P_i & -\gamma^2 I & L_i^T \\ \bar{C}_i & L_i & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0 \quad (19)$$

Where $\bar{A}_i = A_i + B_i K_i + H_i F_i(i) M_i$, $\bar{C}_i = C_i + D_i K_i$.

Notice equation (21) is NLMI about K_i and P_i , facilitate solving, convert it to LMI, let $X_i = P_i^{-1}$, and premultiply and post multiply $\text{diag}[X_i, I, I]$ to equation (21), there is

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \bar{A}_i X_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_i X_j^{-1} X_i & G_i & X_i \bar{C}_i^T \\ G_i^T & -\gamma^2 I & L_i^T \\ \bar{C}_i X_i & L_i & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

Let $Y_i = K_i X_i$, introduced equation(17),notice

$$\begin{aligned} X_i \bar{A}_i^T + \bar{A}_i X_i &= X_i A_i^T + A_i X_i + Y_i^T B_i^T + B_i Y_i + H_i F_i(i) M_i X_i + X_i (H_i F_i(i) M_i)^T \\ X_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} X_j^{-1} \right] X_i &= \pi_{ii} X_i + S_i(X) X_i^{-1} (X) S_i^T(X) \\ X_i (C_i + D_i K_i)^T &= X_i C_i^T + Y_i^T D_i^T \end{aligned}$$

From essay[11],we know there exists a positive constan $\zeta_i > 0$,which makes

$$H_i F_i(i) M_i X_i + X_i (H_i F_i(i) M_i)^T \leq \zeta_i H_i H_i^T + \zeta_i^{-1} X_i M_i^T M_i X_i$$

From Schur fill lemma, we can get equation (11).

From above we know equation(11)is equal to equation(20)

Form Schur fill lemma , when equation(20)is true, there is

$$\bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j + \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i < 0$$

For every $i \in \mathbb{S}$, $\bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i \geq 0$,there is

$$\bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j < 0$$

From essay[9],we know system(1)、(2) is random stable

Next we prove equation(20) makes system have the disturbance attenuation γ

Take Lyapunov function

$$V(x_t, r_t = i) = V(x_t, i) = x_t^T P_i x_t, P_i > 0, i \in \mathbb{S}$$

By weak infinitesimal generator there is

$$LV(x_t, i) = x_t^T (\bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j) x_t + 2x_t^T P_i G_i w_t$$

Define the indicator function

$$J_T = E \left[\int_0^T (z_t^T z_t - \gamma^2 w_t^T w_t) dt \right]$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned} & z_t^T z_t - \gamma^2 w_t^T w_t + LV(x_t, i) \\ &= x_t^T \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i x_t - \gamma^2 w_t^T w_t + x_t^T \bar{C}_i^T L_i w_t + w_t^T L_i^T \bar{C}_i x_t + w_t^T L_i^T L_i w_t + \\ & x_t^T (\bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j) x_t + x_t^T P_i G_i w_t + w_t^T G_i^T P_i x_t \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_t^T & w_t^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j + \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i & \bar{C}_i^T L_i + P_i G_i \\ L_i^T \bar{C}_i + G_i^T P_i & L_i^T L_i - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ w_t \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

There is

$$J_T = E \left[\int_0^T (z_t^T z_t - \gamma^2 w_t^T w_t + LV(x_t, i)) dt \right] - E \int_0^T LV(x_t, i) dt$$

From Dynkin fill lemma , there is

$$E \int_0^T LV(x_t, i) dt = E[V(x_T, r_T)] - V(x_0, r_0)$$

There is

$$J_T = E \int_0^T \begin{bmatrix} x_t^T & w_t^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_i^T P_i + P_i \bar{A}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \pi_{ij} P_j + \bar{C}_i^T \bar{C}_i & \bar{C}_i^T L_i + P_i G_i \\ L_i^T \bar{C}_i + G_i^T P_i & L_i^T L_i - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ w_t \end{bmatrix} dt - E[V(x_T, r_T)] + V(x_0, r_0)$$

From $E[V(x_T, r_T)] \geq 0$ and equation (20),there is

$$J_T \leq V(x_0, r_0)$$

When $T \rightarrow \infty$,there is

$$\|z\|_2 \leq \gamma \left[\|w\|_2^2 + x_0^T P(r_0) x_0 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

From aboved proof ,we know when equation(11) is true , Then the closed loop system 6 is stochastic stable and have the disturbance attenuation γ , which fulfills (P2)

4. Simulation Example

In order to compare easily, take the same model like essay[9].the parameters in system (7) is as follows:

$$\text{Model transfer rate matrix: } \Pi = \begin{bmatrix} -2.0 & 2.0 \\ 3.0 & -3.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Model 1($r_i = 1$):

$$A(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.5 \\ 0.1 & 1.0 \end{bmatrix}, B(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, G(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, L(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$M(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, H(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Model 2($r_i = 2$):

$$A(2) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & -0.5 \\ 0.5 & -0.25 \end{bmatrix}, B(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, G(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, L(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, M(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, H(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, W = 1.$$

Find a right key to theorem 1, Let $\gamma = 1$. $\sigma_{ij}^2 = 1$ ($i = 1, 2, j \in 1, 2$). Use Matlab LMI function box to solve equation (11)~(13), there is

$$X_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 23.6753 & 3.2561 \\ 3.2561 & 12.2635 \end{bmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 31.3624 & -3.5614 \\ -3.5614 & 23.2549 \end{bmatrix}, Y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -39.4531 & -3.5784 \\ -3.4362 & -35.4876 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -45.6316 & 2.5387 \\ 2.5368 & -43.7025 \end{bmatrix}, \zeta_1 = 43.5294, \zeta_2 = 21.3645, \varepsilon_1 = 5.3215, \varepsilon_2 = 7.1245.$$

So the control gain is $K_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.6879 & 0.1564 \\ 0.2624 & -2.9634 \end{bmatrix}, K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1.4681 & -0.1157 \\ -0.1349 & -1.8999 \end{bmatrix}$

5. Conclusion

For a class continuous Markov jump systems, the variance-constrained and uncertain robust performance problem is researched. research sufficient conditions for its random mean-square stability by using LMI forward and prove the condition for robust controller with variance constraint, and the design of robust variance controller; compared with the controller designed by using algebraic method description, the controller proposed by the essay is more convenient ,and can use Matlab LMI function box to solve directly ,the simulation result shows the designed controller meet the demands of stability, robustness and variance constrained .

References

- [1] Sun hui min, zou yun, xu sheng yun. robust control for Markov switching system .control and design,2005, 22(2):1370~1375
- [2] Li Li,Valery A. Ugrinovskii, Robert Orsi.Decentralized robust control of uncertain Markov jump parameter systems via output feedback.Automatica,2007,43:1932~1944
- [3]E.K.Boukas,H.Yang.Exponential stabilizability of stochastic systems with Markovian jumping Automatica,1999,35:746~753
- [4] Zidong Wang,Daniel W. C. Ho,Xiaohui Liu.Variance-Constrained Control for Uncertain Stochastic Systems With Missing Measurements.IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SYSTEMS, MAN, AND CYBERNETICS—PART A:SYSTEMS AND HUMANS,2005,35:746~753
- [5] Kailiang Yang and Jun Guo Lu.Robust variance-constrained control for a class of continuous time-delay systems with parameter uncertainties.Chaos, Solitons&Fractals,2009,39(5) :2179~2187.
- [6] Shengyuan Xu and Tonwen Chen.Robust control for uncertain discrete-time stochastic bilinear systems with Markovian switching.Int. J. Robust control,2005,15:201~217
- [7] Yu li. Robust control for uncertain continuous system with area apices and variance constrained . automatical transaction,2000, 26(4):509~514
- [8] Wu you li ,Fang yang wang ,Wang hong qiang .a Robust H^∞ control for random intermix system . automatical transaction,2010,36(2):337~343.
- [9] E.K. Boukas.Stochastic switching systems: alalysis and design[M].Springer,Boston,2005
- [10] Wu you li . research on Markov jumping system theory and its appliace on missile guidance .AFEU transaction,2009,06.
- [11] Wang Y,Xie L,de Souza CE.Robust control of a class of uncertain nonlinear systems.Systems and control letters,1992,19:139~149